UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,452	12/10/2001	Brian J. Wasserman	9618	5295
26890 JAMES M. ST	7590 09/04/200 COVER	7	EXAM	INER
NCR CORPORATION			RUDY, ANDREW J	
DAYTON, OF	PATTERSON BLVD, \ H 45479	WHQ3 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/016,452	WASSERMAN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
•—	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	une 2007. action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-21</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (	PTO-413)		
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/016,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's Amendment filed June 14, 2007 and its request for reconsideration of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the that action is withdrawn. The Applicant has canceled claim 2. Claims 8-21 remain withdrawn from consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 9, the phrase "attributes" lacks antecedent basis. From line 7 one or more attributes is claimed. Subsequently, from line 8, plural attributes are recited from the claim language. Further, from claim 1, line 8, "event attributes" is recited, while claim 3, line 2, "dynamic event attributes" is recited. It is not clear if Claim 1, line 8 is the same event as recited from Claim 1, line 7. Thus, it is not clear what constitutes the "attributes" recited from line 7. Clarification is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/016,452

Art Unit: 3627 -

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 1 and 3-7, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood, Jr., US 7,050,997.

Wood discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-46, a financial management software program for processing in a computer, comprising selecting accounts, events and selection criteria from a database, e.g. cols. 1-3, Figs. 1-4, 14, 15 and related text; elements 12, 22, 23, 24, 26, 28, 37, 38, 40, 45, 46, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 150, 154; claims 1, 18, 31, 34, and appears to process the selection criteria in a parallel process. Wood does not specifically disclose the term selector function. However, Wood does disclose selecting from the template class objects from a particular group. Thus, to have provided a "selector function" to group the selection criteria for Wood would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been to have implemented a common knowledge mechanical tool to select the accounts needed for processing.

- 6. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,452

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627